

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,618	02/12/2001	Martin Sommer	SGW-109	9111	
23599	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
•	HITE, ZELANO & B NDON BLVD.	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN			
SUITE 1400	NOON DEVE.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		1772		

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Cu

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		09/780,6	18	SOMMER ET AL.	•			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Alicia Che		1772				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 January 200	06.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is r						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allo			secution as to the	e merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>30,31,33-51 and 53-56</u> is/are pend	ding in the ap	olication.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>30, 31, 33-51 and 53-56</u> is/are reje	ected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· -	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	eauirement.					
,—	on Papers		7					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	inar						
·	•		abjected to by the E	Vaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
				• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary ((DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/06</u> .		5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)			

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Upon further consideration the restriction of claims 53-56 is hereby withdrawn.

1. Claims 30, 31, 33-51 and 53-56 are pending in the application, claims 1-29, 32 and 52

have been cancelled.

2. Amendments to the claims, filed on January 23, 2006, have been entered in the above-

identified application.

REJECTIONS

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 13-15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pace (U.S. Patent No. 5,866,441).

Pace discloses a molded element (*chip*, *col*. 6, *line* 66) that comprises brittle-fracture material (*substrate*, *col*. 7, *line* 1) with at least one opening (*figure* 5a) that is sealed only with sealing material (*feed-throughs*, *col*. 7, *line* 2) which is hermetically sealed (*col*. 7, *lines* 10-11) to said brittle-fracture material.

The limitation "wherein the brittle-fracture material and the sealing material are permanently bonded together by a pressure weld" is a method limitation and does not determine

Application/Control Number: 09/780,618

Art Unit: 1772

the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Pace discloses that the brittle facture material and sealing material are permanently bonded with a hermetic seal.

Page 3

Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material is glass, glass ceramic or ceramic (ceramic or glass/ceramic, col. 7, line 6). Pace discloses that the sealing material is a metal, a metal alloy or a metal composite (refractive metal, col. 7, lines 11-12). Pace discloses that the sealing element is a brittle-fracture (green ceramics, col. 7, line 13). Pace discloses that the sealing material has a plate, spherical, conical or cylindrical shape (figure 5a). Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material is a glass plate, and wherein the at least one opening has the shape of a through-going cylindrical opening or through-going conical opening (figure 5a).

Regarding the limitation "wherein the brittle-fracture material and the sealing material are bonded by welding by movement" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the

Art Unit: 1772

claimed method because Pace discloses that the brittle facture material and sealing material are

bonded with a hermetic seal.

Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material and/or the sealing material is at least partially coated (*metal layer*, col. 7, lines 24-25). Pace discloses that the sealing material is glass, glass ceramic or ceramic (*green ceramic*, col. 7, line 13).

Regarding the limitation "wherein the brittle-fracture material and the sealing material are bonded by ultrasound weld, high-frequency weld, rotary weld, friction weld, torsional or orbital weld, cold pressure weld or abrasive weld" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Pace discloses that the brittle facture material and sealing material are bonded with a hermetic seal.

Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material and/or the sealing material is at least partially metal- and/or plastic-coated (*metal layer*, col. 7, lines 24-25). Pace discloses a molded element (chip, col. 6, line 66) that comprises brittle-fracture material (substrate, col. 7, line 1) with at least one opening (figure 5a) to a cavity that is sealed only with sealing material (feed-throughs, col. 7, line 2) which is hermetically sealed (col. 7, lines 10-11) to said brittle-fracture material.

Art Unit: 1772

The limitation "wherein the brittle-fracture material and the sealing material are permanently bonded together by a cold pressure weld" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Pace discloses that the brittle facture material and sealing material are permanently bonded with a hermetic seal.

Pace discloses that the sealing material is a brittle-fracture material (green ceramic, col. 7, line 13). Pace discloses that the sealing material is glass, glass ceramic or ceramic (green ceramic, col. 7, line 13). Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material has one opening (figure 5a). Pace discloses that the brittle-fracture material has two openings (figure 5a).

Pace discloses a molded element (*chip*, *col*. 6, *line* 66) that comprises brittle-fracture material (*substrate*, *col*. 7, *line* 1) with at least one opening (*figure* 5a) that is sealed only with sealing material (*feed-throughs*, *col*. 7, *line* 2) which is hermetically sealed (*col*. 7, *lines* 10-11) to said brittle-fracture material.

The limitation "wherein the brittle-fracture material and the sealing material are permanently bonded together by a diffusion weld" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the

Art Unit: 1772

claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Pace discloses that the brittle facture material and sealing material are permanently bonded with a hermetic seal.

The preamble "laminated glass system," "an electrochromic" and "mirror" is deemed to be a statement with regard to the intended use and is not further limiting in so far as the structure of the product is concerned. In article claims, a claimed intended use must result in a *structural* difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. MPEP § 2111.02.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments in January 23, 2006 regarding the previous rejection of record have been considered but are most due to the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,618 Page 7

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac

4/3/06

ALICIA CHEVALIEN
DRIMARY EXAMINER

Um Ul